

DISCUSSING Prospective Reinsurance Program



Monthly health insurance premiums and out-of-pocket deductibles have more the doubled since 2013. Traditional high-risk pools force insurers to price enrollees as if they are sick, even if they are healthy. Creating a prospective reinsurance program would solve this, allowing insurers to price new enrollees as if they are all healthy. This would lower premiums, increase coverage options, and help those with pre-existing conditions by having them pay the same amount as healthy applicants.

Voters support prospective reinsurance programs.

What messages really move them? These messages work best.

- > Prospective reinsurance would **protect those with pre-existing conditions**.
- > This solution wouldn't change the kinds of plans already available.
- Creating a prospective reinsurance program would help those who are currently paying full price for their health insurance.
- > This solution would reduce premium costs.

Visit thefga.org/prospectivereinsuranceprogram

FGA Prospective Reinsurance Program

	ALL VOTERS	GOP	DEM	IND
Would you be more or less like conditions?	ly to support creating invisible h	igh-risk pools if you knew	w that it could protect pe	ople with pre-existin
More Likely	68%	68%	69%	67%
Less Likely	14%	14%	13%	14%
Unsure	18%	17%	18%	19%
	y to support creating invisible hig serious medical issues would con			
More Likely	60%	66%	57%	59%
Less Likely	15%	13%	18%	15%
Unsure	24%	22%	25%	26%
Nould you be more or less lik baying full price for health in	kely to support creating invisibl	e high-risk pools if you	knew that it could help	individuals who a
More Likely	59%	65%	55%	58%
Less Likely	17%	16%	17%	17%
Unsure	24%	10%	28%	25%
Unsule	2470	17/0	2070	2376
eimbursing insurers for some neart failure. This program is	ly created risk sharing program e of the high costs associated invisible to patients but lower support or oppose creating inv	with certain conditions s the cost of insurance	s, such as cancer, HIV/A e for everyone and enti-	IDS, and congestiv ces more people t
More Likely	55%	61%	49%	57%
Less Likely	16%	16%	17%	16%
Unsure	28%	23%	34%	27%
one insurance provider?	ely to support creating invisible	• • •		
More Likely	52%	57%	47%	53%
Less Likely	20%	18%	21%	22%
Unsure	27%	25%	32%	24%
Would you be more or less like up for health insurance?	ely to support creating invisible	high-risk pools if you kn	ew that it could lead to r	more people signin
More Likely	54%	50%	57%	53%
Less Likely	20%	21%	17%	24%
Unsure	26%	29%	26%	23%
Nould you be more or less lik drop out of many markets?	ely to support creating invisible	e high-risk pools if you	knew that high costs ha	ve forced insurers
More Likely	52%	56%	49%	52%
Less Likely	19%	18%	21%	19%
Unsure	28%	26%	30%	29%
Would you be more or less lik benefited from recent health	ely to support creating invisible care policy changes?	high-risk pools if you kne	ew that it could help thos	e who have not
More Likely	52%	49%	56%	52%
Less Likely	19%	27%	13%	17%
Unsure	29%	24%	31%	31%
Would you be more or less lik	ely to support creating invisible	high-risk pools if you kne	ew that premiums have d	loubled since 2013
More Likely	52%	56%	47%	53%
Less Likely	22%	19%	25%	20%
Unsure	26%	24%	27%	27%
	ely to support creating invisible his could help reduce that num		ew that nearly 30 million /	Americans do not
More Likely	52%	50%	55%	51%
Less Likely	24%	25%	20%	26%



25%

25%

23%

24%

Unsure